


Supplementary Materials 1:
Comparison of method *seg+2dLoess*
with 10 alternative normalization methods

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Bladder cancer data set | 2 |
| 1.1 | <i>smt vs sigma</i> | 2 |
| 1.2 | <i>smt vs dyn</i> | 3 |
| 2 | Breast cancer data set | 4 |
| 2.1 | <i>smt vs sigma</i> | 4 |
| 2.2 | <i>smt vs dyn</i> | 5 |
| 3 | Neuroblastoma data set | 6 |
| 3.1 | <i>smt vs sigma</i> | 6 |
| 3.2 | <i>smt vs dyn</i> | 7 |


1 Bladder cancer data set

1.1 *smt* vs *sigma*




1.2 *smt* vs *dyn*

Performance comparison of seg+2dLoess vs 10 alternative methods
Bladder cancer data set




2 Breast cancer data set

2.1 *smt* vs *sigma*




2.2 *smt* vs *dyn*

Performance comparison of seg+2dLoess vs 10 alternative methods
Breast cancer data set



3 Neuroblastoma data set

3.1 *smt* vs *sigma*



3.2 *smt* vs *dyn*

Performance comparison of seg+2dLoess vs 10 alternative methods
Neuroblastoma data set

